

### **Remarks/Arguments**

This response is provided in reply to the Restriction Requirement mailed August 10, 2009.

Applicant herewith elects Species 2, Figure 9, without traverse, for examination. It is believed that claims 1, 3-4, 6, 8, 10-18, 21, and 30-31 correspond to this species and that claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 30-31 are generic.

The claims in the application have been amended in light of the Examiner's comments regarding claim 1 and DE 195 09 356. Particularly, the features of claim 2 have been recast as a part of claim 1. In addition, any multiple dependent claims previously included have been amended to single dependent format.

The application is believed to be placed in condition for further Examination. Reconsideration in light of this response is requested. In the event any outstanding issue is found to exist, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned for a rapid resolution.

Respectfully submitted:

/John M. White/  
John M. White, Reg.No.32,634  
Acting in a Representative Capacity  
Under 37 CFR 1.34  
Berenato White & Stavish  
6550 Rock Spring Drive  
Suite 240  
Bethesda, MD 20817  
Ph 301 896 0600  
Email: jwhite@bwsiplaw.com